



INQAAHE CONFERENCE 2011

Sub-Theme Number 3

Effective QA Processes for Diversity, Development and Sustainability

Title

**Quality Assurance in a Regional University:
Follow-Up, Feedback and Development
The Case of The University of the West Indies**

Authors

**Sandra Gift, BA (Hons); Dip. Development Studies; MPhil,
Ed; PhD, Ed.**

Jacqueline Moniquette, BA, MA

**Email: sandra.gift@sta.uwi.edu;
jacqueline.moniquette@cavehill.uwi.edu**



Introduction

The University of the West Indies

- Regional
- Challenge of resources, vulnerability
- Campuses in Barbados, Jamaica, Trinidad and Tobago and Open Campus
- Quality Assurance Unit: decentralized cross-campus entity
- Supports the development and sustainability of quality assurance processes
- Facilitator of common standards while safeguarding diversity and regionality



Facilitating Development and Regionality



The Review Process as Change Agent

- All academic programmes/disciplines have been reviewed at least once.
- Curriculum reform a specific outcome of reviews
- Outcomes of curriculum reform include:
 - Reinstitution of cross faculty meetings
 - Harmonisation of programmes to reflect regional and global imperatives
 - Maintenance of regional standard across campuses



Facilitating Development and Regionality (cont'd)



Staff and Student Engagement:

- Academic staff the main drivers of curriculum renewal
- Students surveyed and interviewed as part of the review process
- Students benefit from curriculum renewal
- Knowledge about the review process among students not widespread
- More needs to be done to further embed a quality culture throughout the institution



The UWI System of Programme Review

- Review Team: senior academic from another UWI campus
- Layering knowledge and understanding of quality assurance processes and procedures
- Tangible evidence of the nature of the institutional impact of programme review
- Academic Quality Assurance Committee (AQAC)
 - Indicative of on-going measures to ensure effectiveness and sustainability of UWI quality assurance process
 - Responsive to specific campus priorities



The UWI System of Programme Review



cont'd

Post-Review Follow-up Reflects

- Change in institutional behaviour and organisation
- Increasing formalisation of UWI quality assurance processes
- Concern to promote increased collaboration
- Effectiveness of quality assurance processes
- **Outcomes:** improvement in the teaching and learning environment; evidence of the effectiveness of programme review
- Across all campuses the issue of **resources** is being addressed



Feedback From Internal Stakeholders About Programme Review



Findings of a 2007 survey

- Response rates not always as high as desired
- Might be indicative of some degree of passivity or resistance



Usefulness of Programme Reviews



- Large majority of respondents thought the purpose of programme review was being fulfilled.
 - “A meaningful exercise without which faculty would not undertake a full evaluation of their programmes.”
 - “...extremely necessary and extremely beneficial...”
 - “The exercise is a good one, even if it is to provide a “mirror” for critically viewing the department’s work”.
 - “Exercise well worth the effort”
 - “...very important...”



Benefits and Positive Outcomes of Programme Review

- “Road Map” for strategy implementation
- Encouragement of personal review of pedagogical approaches
- Improvement of service delivery and exchange of ideas with academics from diverse environments
- Recognition of the importance of fieldwork/new technology
- Curriculum review/critical examination of curriculum
- Staff motivated to keep good records and adhere to best practices
- Justification for needed resources
- Increased staffing
- Opportunity for introspection, recognition of constraints and achievements, and objective assessment



Least Helpful Outcomes



- Lack of infrastructural and administrative support for the implementation of recommendations
- No funding provided to implement recommendations
- Difficulty accessing data to better understand trends
- Lack of follow-up of recommendations of review teams



Recommendations for Improving Programme Reviews

- Better access to statistics
- Greater student participation
- Better communication with the QAU
- Research Assistant to assist with data collection
- Increased time for feedback at Exit Meeting
- More thorough briefing of assessors about The UWI system to prevent erroneous statements
- Improved post-review follow-up



Recommendations for Improving Programme Reviews (cont'd)

- Inclusion of the Bursary in the review process
- Require reviewers to read more about rules and faculty regulations
- Perception of the impact of the quality assurance review process would be enhanced by attention to greater dissemination of information about tangible outcomes of programme review.



The Self-Study Exercise of the QAU in 2010



Mission, Objectives, Governance and Management

- The Unit is well integrated into the fabric of UWI and the scope and impact of its work expanding
- The self study provides an opportunity to:
 - Recraft mission and objectives
 - Develop an operational plan to reflect current context and realities
 - Explore greater use of technology as a tool for more effective governance and management



The Self-Study Exercise of the QAU in 2010 (cont'd)



Delivery and Management of Activities

- Ensure greater objectivity and transparency of the review process by:
 - More careful scrutiny of team leaders
 - Establishing a database of reviewers from which to select team members
- Increase student involvement by:
 - Requiring students to prepare their own reports
 - Conducting independently facilitated focus groups
 - Providing students with feedback on review findings



The Self-Study Exercise of the QAU in 2010 (cont'd)



Delivery and Management of Activities (cont'd)

- Encourage wider ownership of the self-assessment process
- Reconceptualise the QA system to encompass all administrative and managerial operations
- Introduce elements of peer review and benchmarking in quality evaluations
- Engage in more systematic research of its effectiveness by:
 - Soliciting feedback on completion of the review exercise
 - Devising strategies to elicit higher levels of staff response to surveys
 - Ensuring concerted and timely action on survey findings
 - Communicating with stakeholders on action taken



The Self-Study Exercise of the QAU in 2010 (cont'd)



Delivery and Management of Activities (cont'd)

- Establish international collaborative links as opportunities for:
 - Benchmarking
 - Sharing good practice
 - Staff exchanges
 - Capacity building
- Increase awareness of role and function of QAU



Conclusion

- Despite financial challenges, an adequately resourced teaching and learning environment remains a priority for UWI.
- The system of academic quality assurance is integral to this process.
- The review process is seen by staff to be valuable.
- The post review phase needs strengthening.
- The QAU's self study identifies:
 - Its importance in helping to preserve the regionality of UWI and therefore its diversity
 - Clear guideposts for its development and the sustainability of the QA process