



United Nations
Educational,
Scientific and
Cultural Organization

Guidelines for Quality Provision in Cross-border Higher Education

Paris, 2005

For further information:

Chief, Section for Reform, Innovation and Quality Assurance
Division of Higher Education
UNESCO
7, place de Fontenoy
75352 Paris 07 SP
France
Tel: 33 1 45680832
Fax: 33 1 45685632
e-mail: guidelines-qa@unesco.org
Internet: <http://www.unesco.org/education/hed/guidelines>

Published by the United Nations Educational,
Scientific and Cultural Organization

Composed and printed in the workshops of UNESCO

© UNESCO 2005

Printed in France

(ED-2005/WS/76)

Note from the Secretariat

The initiative to develop guidelines on “Quality Provision in Cross-Border Higher Education” is a follow-up to a resolution adopted by the General Conference of UNESCO (29 September-17 October 2003), inviting the Director-General to “develop practices and principles to guide cross-border provision of higher education, drawing on the competence of UNESCO and other international agencies, in cooperation with quality assurance agencies and educational providers”.

The resolution confirmed the leading role of UNESCO in higher education reasserted through the World Conference on Higher Education (WCHE, 1998, WCHE+5, 2003), and the Global Forum on International Quality Assurance, Accreditation and the Recognition of Qualifications (2002, 2004).

UNESCO and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) agreed to proceed with the elaboration of joint Guidelines for quality provision in cross-border higher education, based on United Nations and UNESCO principles and instruments, as an educational response to the growing commercialization of higher education.

The objective of the drafting process was to elaborate guidelines based on the specific needs of Member States and addressing real problems. Experts from UNESCO and OECD Member States as well as stakeholders including higher education institutions, student associations, quality assurance and accreditation agencies, recognition agencies, academic staff associations, professional bodies, the private sector and other international organizations were invited to take part in the process. The Guidelines were conceived as being voluntary and non-binding in character and as providing orientation for developing national capacity and international cooperation in this area. They are neither a normative nor a standard-setting document.

The objectives of the Guidelines are to propose tools and a synthesis of best practices that can assist Member States in assessing the quality and relevance of higher education provided across borders and to protect students and other stakeholders in

higher education from low-quality higher education provision. The Guidelines address six stakeholders in higher education (governments, higher education institutions/providers including academic staff, student bodies, quality assurance and accreditation bodies, academic recognition bodies, and professional bodies), provide a set of orientations to practitioners, and seek to promote mutual trust and international cooperation between providers and receivers of cross-border higher education.

Following the resolution of the 33rd session of the General Conference (October 2005), the Guidelines are issued as a Secretariat document on “Quality Provision in Cross-border Higher Education” elaborated in cooperation with OECD. They are perceived as a timely document that addresses key higher education issues in a more globalized society and are equally relevant for developed and developing countries.

UNESCO stands ready to provide, upon request, advice to Member States and partners on how the Guidelines may be best utilized; to promote capacity building for quality assurance at the regional level, using the Guidelines as a reference and the regional conventions for the recognition of qualifications as existing frameworks.

Georges Haddad
Director
Division of Higher Education

Acknowledgments 6

Introduction 7

Purpose of the Guidelines 7

Rationale for the Guidelines 8

Scope of the Guidelines 10

Guidelines for Higher Education Stakeholders 13

Guidelines for governments 13

Guidelines for higher education
institutions/providers 15

Guidelines for student bodies 17

Guidelines for quality assurance
and accreditation bodies 18

Guidelines for academic recognition bodies 21

Guidelines for professional bodies 22

Acknowledgments

The following people are warmly thanked for their contribution to the elaboration of the Guidelines:

OECD

Bernard Hugonnier, Deputy-Director, Directorate for Education

Keiko Momii, Analyst, Centre for Educational Research and Innovation (CERI)

Kurt Larsen, Former Senior Analyst, Centre for Educational Research and Innovation (CERI)

Stéphan Vincent-Lancrin, Analyst, Centre for Educational Research and Innovation (CERI)

UNESCO

Section for Reform, Innovation and Quality Assurance:

Stamenka Uvalić-Trumbić, Chief of Section

Zeynep Varoglu, Assistant Programme Specialist

Ranwa Safadi, Programme Specialist

Tove Lyngra, Programme Specialist

Liliana Simionescu, Assistant Programme Specialist

Yoshie Ishida, Associate Expert

Jennifer Lim-Dajczman, Assistant to Chief of Section

Sandrine Baron, Secretarial Assistant

Design

Dominique Lim

Mirian Quérol

Guidelines¹ for Quality Provision in Cross-border Higher Education

I. Introduction

Purpose of the Guidelines

The Guidelines aim to support and encourage international cooperation and enhance the understanding of the importance of quality provision in cross-border higher education.² The purposes of the Guidelines are to protect students and other stakeholders from low-quality provision and disreputable providers³ as well as to encourage the development of quality cross-border higher education that meets human, social, economic and cultural needs.

1 These Guidelines are not legally binding and Member States are expected to implement the Guidelines as appropriate in their national context.

2 In these Guidelines, cross-border higher education includes higher education that takes place in situations where the teacher, student, programme, institution/provider or course materials cross national jurisdictional borders. Cross-border higher education may include higher education by public/private and not-for-profit/for-profit providers. It encompasses a wide range of modalities, in a continuum from face-to-face (taking various forms such as students travelling abroad and campuses abroad) to distance learning (using a range of technologies and including e-learning).

3 In this context 'disreputable providers' refer to degree and accreditation mills.

Rationale for the Guidelines

Since the 1980s, cross-border higher education through the mobility of students, academic staff, programmes/institutions and professionals has grown considerably. In parallel, new delivery modes and cross-border providers have appeared, such as campuses abroad, electronic delivery of higher education and for-profit providers. These new forms of cross-border higher education offer increased opportunities for improving the skills and competencies of individual students and the quality of national higher education systems, provided they aim at benefiting the human, social, economic and cultural development of the receiving country.

While in some countries the national frameworks for quality assurance, accreditation and the recognition of qualifications take into account cross-border higher education, in many countries they are still not geared to addressing the challenges of cross-border provision. Furthermore, the lack of comprehensive frameworks for coordinating various initiatives at the international level, together with the diversity and unevenness of the quality assurance and accreditation systems at the national level, create gaps in the quality assurance of cross-border higher education, leaving some cross-border higher education provision outside any framework of quality assurance and accreditation. This makes students and other stakeholders more vulnerable to low-quality provision and disreputable providers⁴ of cross-border higher education. The challenge faced by current quality assurance and accreditation systems is to develop appropriate procedures and systems to cover foreign providers and programmes (in addition to national providers and programmes) in order to maximize the benefits and limit the potential drawbacks of the internationalization of higher education. At the same time, the increase in cross-border student, academic staff, researcher and professional mobility has put the issue of the recognition of academic and professional qualifications high on the international cooperation agenda.

4 See footnote 3.

There is therefore a need for additional national initiatives, strengthened international cooperation and networking, and more transparent information on procedures and systems of quality assurance, accreditation and the recognition of qualifications. These efforts should have a global range and should emphasize supporting the needs of developing countries to establish robust higher education systems. Given that some countries lack comprehensive frameworks for quality assurance, accreditation and the recognition of qualifications, capacity-building should form an important part of the overall strengthening and coordination of national and international initiatives. In this light, the UNESCO Secretariat and OECD have worked closely together in the development of these Guidelines for quality provision in cross-border higher education. The implementation of these Guidelines could serve as a first step in the capacity-building process.

The quality of a country's higher education sector and its assessment and monitoring is not only key to its social and economic well-being, it is also a determining factor affecting the status of that higher education system at the international level. The establishment of quality assurance systems has become a necessity, not only for monitoring quality in higher education delivered within the country, but also for engaging in delivery of higher education internationally. As a consequence, there has been an impressive rise in the number of quality assurance and accreditation bodies for higher education in the past two decades. However, existing national quality assurance capacity often focuses exclusively on domestic delivery by domestic institutions.

The increased cross-border mobility of students, academic staff, professionals, programmes and providers presents challenges for existing national quality assurance and accreditation frameworks and bodies as well as for the systems for recognizing foreign qualifications. Some of these challenges are described below:

- (a) National capacity for quality assurance and accreditation often does not cover cross-border higher education. This increases the risk of students falling victim to misleading guidance and information and disreputable providers,

dubious quality assurance and accreditation bodies and low-quality provision, leading to qualifications of limited validity;

- (b) National systems and bodies for the recognition of qualifications may have limited knowledge and experience in dealing with cross-border higher education. In some cases, the challenge becomes more complicated as cross-border higher education providers may deliver qualifications that are not of comparable quality to those which they offer in their home country;
- (c) The increasing need to obtain national recognition of foreign qualifications has posed challenges to national recognition bodies. This in turn, at times, leads to administrative and legal problems for the individuals concerned;
- (d) The professions depend on trustworthy, high-quality qualifications. It is essential that users of professional services including employers have full confidence in the skills of qualified professionals. The increasing possibility of obtaining low-quality qualifications could harm the professions themselves, and might in the long run undermine confidence in professional qualifications.

Scope of the Guidelines

The Guidelines aim to provide an international framework for quality provision in cross-border higher education that responds to the above-mentioned challenges.

The Guidelines are based on the principle of mutual trust and respect among countries and on the recognition of the importance of international collaboration in higher education. They also recognize the importance of national authority and the diversity of higher education systems. Countries attach a high importance to national sovereignty over higher education. Higher education is a vital means for expressing a country's linguistic and

cultural diversity and also for nurturing its economic development and social cohesion. It is therefore recognized that policy-making in higher education reflects national priorities. At the same time, it is recognized that in some countries, there are several competent authorities in higher education.

The effectiveness of the Guidelines largely depends on the possibility of strengthening the capacity of national systems to assure the quality of higher education. The development and implementation of the UNESCO regional conventions and further support to the ongoing capacity-building initiatives of UNESCO, other multilateral organizations and bilateral donors in this area will sustain and be complementary to the Guidelines. These initiatives should be supported by strong regional and national partners.

The Guidelines acknowledge the important role of non-governmental organizations such as higher education associations, student bodies, academic staff associations, networks of quality assurance and accreditation bodies, recognition and credential evaluation bodies and professional bodies in strengthening international cooperation for quality provision in cross-border higher education. The Guidelines aim to encourage the strengthening and coordination of existing initiatives by enhancing dialogue and collaboration among various bodies.

Cross-border higher education encompasses a wide range of modalities from face-to-face (taking various forms such as students travelling abroad and campuses abroad) to distance learning (using a range of technologies and including e-learning). In implementing the Guidelines, consideration should be given to the variety of provision and its different demands for quality assurance.

II. Guidelines for Higher Education Stakeholders

With due regard to the specific division of responsibilities in each country, the Guidelines recommend actions to six stakeholders:⁵ governments; higher education institutions/providers including academic staff; student bodies; quality assurance and accreditation bodies; academic recognition bodies;⁶ and professional bodies.

Guidelines for governments

Governments can be influential, if not responsible, in promoting adequate quality assurance, accreditation and the recognition of qualifications. They undertake the role of policy coordination in most higher education systems. However, it is acknowledged throughout these Guidelines that in some countries, the authority for overseeing quality assurance lies with subnational government bodies or with non-governmental organizations.

In this context, it is recommended that governments:

- (a) Establish, or encourage the establishment of a comprehensive, fair and transparent system of registration or licensing for cross-border higher education providers wishing to operate in their territory;

⁵ In the Guidelines, the distinctions among these stakeholders are made based on the functions and it is recognized that the different functions do not necessarily belong to separate bodies.

⁶ Academic recognition bodies include qualification recognition bodies, credential evaluation bodies, and advisory/information centres.

- (b) Establish, or encourage the establishment of a comprehensive capacity for reliable quality assurance and accreditation of cross-border higher education provision, recognizing that quality assurance and accreditation of cross-border higher education provision involves both sending and receiving countries;
- (c) Consult and coordinate among the various competent bodies for quality assurance and accreditation both nationally and internationally;
- (d) Provide accurate, reliable and easily accessible information on the criteria and standards for registration, licensure, quality assurance and accreditation of cross-border higher education, their consequences on the funding of students, institutions or programmes, where applicable, and their voluntary or mandatory nature;
- (e) Consider becoming party to and contribute to the development and/or updating of the appropriate UNESCO regional conventions on the recognition of qualifications and establish national information centres as stipulated by the conventions;
- (f) Where appropriate develop or encourage bilateral or multilateral recognition agreements, facilitating the recognition or equivalence of each country's qualifications based on the procedures and criteria included in mutual agreements;
- (g) Contribute to efforts to improve the accessibility at the international level of up-to-date, accurate and comprehensive information on recognized higher education institutions/providers.

Guidelines for higher education institutions/ providers

Commitment to quality by all higher education institutions/providers is essential.⁷ To this end, the active and constructive contributions of academic staff are indispensable. Higher education institutions are responsible for the quality as well as the social, cultural and linguistic relevance of education and the standards of qualifications provided in their name, no matter where or how it is delivered.

In this context, it is recommended that higher education institutions/providers delivering cross-border higher education:

- (a) Ensure that the programmes they deliver across borders and in their home country are of comparable quality and that they also take into account the cultural and linguistic sensitivities of the receiving country. It is desirable that a commitment to this effect should be made public;
- (b) Recognize that quality teaching and research is made possible by the quality of faculty and the quality of their working conditions that foster independent and critical enquiry. The UNESCO Recommendation concerning the Status of Higher Education Teaching Personnel⁸ and other relevant instruments need to be taken into account by all institutions and providers to support good working conditions and terms of service, collegial governance and academic freedom;
- (c) Develop, maintain or review current internal quality management systems so that they make full use of

⁷ An important and relevant initiative for this is the statement 'Sharing Quality Higher Education Across Borders' by the International Association of Universities, the Association of Universities and Colleges of Canada, the American Council on Education and the Council on Higher Education Accreditation on behalf of higher education institutions worldwide.

⁸ Available at: http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL_ID=13144&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html.

the competencies of stakeholders such as academic staff, administrators, students and graduates and take full responsibility for delivering higher education qualifications comparable in standard in their home country and across borders. Furthermore, when promoting their programmes to potential students through agents, they should take full responsibility to ensure that the information and guidance provided by their agents are accurate, reliable and easily accessible;

- (d) Consult competent quality assurance and accreditation bodies and respect the quality assurance and accreditation systems of the receiving country when delivering higher education across borders, including distance education;
- (e) Share good practices by participating in sector organizations and inter-institutional networks at national and international levels;
- (f) Develop and maintain networks and partnerships to facilitate the process of recognition by acknowledging each other's qualifications as equivalent or comparable;
- (g) Where relevant, use codes of good practice such as the UNESCO/Council of Europe 'Code of good practice in the provision of transnational education'⁹ and other relevant codes such as the Council of Europe/UNESCO 'Recommendation on Criteria and Procedures for the Assessment of Foreign Qualifications';¹⁰
- (h) Provide accurate, reliable and easily accessible information on the criteria and procedures of external and

⁹ Available at: <http://www.cepes.ro/hed/recogn/groups/transnat/code.htm>.

¹⁰ Available at: http://www.coe.int/T/DG4/HigherEducation/Recognition/Criteria%20and%20procedures_EN.asp#TopOfPage.

internal quality assurance and the academic and professional recognition of qualifications they deliver and provide complete descriptions of programmes and qualifications, preferably with descriptions of the knowledge, understanding and skills that a successful student should acquire. Higher education institutions/providers should collaborate especially with quality assurance and accreditation bodies and with student bodies to facilitate the dissemination of this information;

- (i) Ensure the transparency of the financial status of the institution and/or educational programme offered.

Guidelines for student bodies

As representatives of the direct recipients of cross-border higher education and as part of the higher education community, student bodies bear the responsibility of helping students and potential students to carefully scrutinize the information available and giving sufficient consideration in their decision-making process.

In this context, it is recommended that the emergence of autonomous, local, national and international student bodies should be encouraged and that the student bodies:

- (a) Be involved as active partners at international, national and institutional levels in the development, monitoring and maintenance of the quality provision of cross-border higher education and take the necessary steps to achieve this objective;
- (b) Take active part in promoting quality provision, by increasing the awareness of the students of the potential risks such as misleading guidance and information, low-quality provision leading to qualifications of limited validity, and disreputable providers. They should also guide them to accurate and reliable information sources on cross-border higher education. This could be done by increasing the awareness of the existence of

these guidelines as well as taking an active part in their implementation;

- (c) Encourage students and potential students to ask appropriate questions when enrolling in cross-border higher education programmes. A list of relevant questions could be established by student bodies, including foreign students where possible, in collaboration with bodies such as higher education institutions, quality assurance and accreditation bodies and academic recognition bodies. Such a list should include the following questions: whether the foreign institution/provider is recognized or accredited by a trustworthy body and whether the qualifications delivered by the foreign institution/provider are recognized in the students' home country for academic and/or professional purposes.

Guidelines for quality assurance and accreditation bodies

In addition to internal quality management of institutions/providers, external quality assurance and accreditation systems have been adopted in more than 60 countries. Quality assurance and accreditation bodies are responsible for assessing the quality of higher education provision. The existing systems of quality assurance and accreditation often vary from country to country and sometimes within the countries themselves. Some have governmental bodies for quality assurance and accreditation, and others have non-governmental bodies. Furthermore, some differences exist in the terminologies used, the definition of 'quality', the purpose and function of the system including its link to the funding of students, institutions or programmes, the methodologies used in quality assurance and accreditation, the scope and function of the responsible body or unit, and the voluntary or compulsory nature of participation. While respecting this diversity, a coordinated effort among the bodies of both sending and receiving countries is needed at both the regional and global levels, in order to tackle the challenges raised by the

growth of cross-border provision of higher education, especially in its new forms.¹¹

In this context, it is recommended that quality assurance and accreditation bodies:

- (a) Ensure that their quality assurance and accreditation arrangements include cross-border education provision in its various modes. This can mean giving attention to assessment guidelines, ensuring that standards and processes are transparent, consistent and appropriate to take account of the shape and scope of the national higher education system, and adaptability to changes and developments in cross-border provision;
- (b) Sustain and strengthen the existing regional and international networks or establish regional networks in regions that do not already have one. These networks can serve as platforms to exchange information and good practice, disseminate knowledge, increase the understanding of international developments and challenges as well as to improve the professional expertise of their staff and quality assessors. These networks could also be used to improve awareness of disreputable providers and dubious quality assurance and accreditation bodies, and to develop monitoring and reporting systems that can lead to their identification;
- (c) Establish links to strengthen the collaboration between the bodies of the sending country and the receiving country and enhance the mutual understanding of different systems of quality assurance and accreditation. This may facilitate the process of assuring the quality of programmes delivered across borders and institutions operating across borders while respecting the quality assurance and accreditation systems of the receiving countries;

¹¹ See footnote 2.

- (d) Provide accurate and easily accessible information on the assessment standards, procedures, and effects of the quality assurance mechanisms on the funding of students, institutions or programmes where applicable as well as the results of the assessment. Quality assurance and accreditation bodies should collaborate with other actors, especially higher education institutions/providers, academic staff, student bodies and academic recognition bodies to facilitate the dissemination of such information;
- (e) Apply the principles reflected in current international documents on cross-border higher education such as the UNESCO/Council of Europe ‘Code of Good Practice in the Provision of Transnational Education’;¹²
- (f) Reach mutual recognition agreements with other bodies on the basis of trust in and understanding of each other’s professional practice, develop systems of internal quality assurance and regularly undergo external evaluations, making full use of competencies of stakeholders. Where feasible, consider undertaking experiments in international evaluation or peer reviews of quality assurance and accreditation bodies;
- (g) Consider adoption of procedures for the international composition of peer review panels, international benchmarking of standards, criteria and assessment procedures and undertake joint assessment projects to increase the comparability of evaluation activities of different quality assurance and accreditation bodies.

¹² Available at: <http://www.cepes.ro/hed/recogn/groups/transnat/code.htm>.

Guidelines for academic recognition bodies

The UNESCO regional conventions on recognition of qualifications are important instruments facilitating the fair recognition of higher education qualifications, including the assessment of foreign qualifications resulting from cross-border mobility of students, skilled professionals and cross-border provision of higher education.

There is a need to build on existing initiatives with additional international action to facilitate fair processes of recognition of academic qualifications by making systems more transparent and comparable.

In this context, it is recommended that academic recognition bodies:

- (a) Establish and maintain regional and international networks that can serve as platforms to exchange information and good practice, disseminate knowledge, increase the understanding of international developments and challenges and improve the professional expertise of their staff;
- (b) Strengthen their cooperation with quality assurance and accreditation bodies to facilitate the process of determining whether a qualification meets basic quality standards, as well as to engage in cross-border cooperation and networking with quality assurance and accreditation bodies. This cooperation should be pursued both at regional and cross-regional levels;
- (c) Establish and maintain contacts with all stakeholders to share the information and improve the links between academic and professional qualification assessment methodologies;
- (d) Where appropriate, address the professional recognition of qualifications in the labour market and provide necessary information on professional recognition, both to those who have a foreign qualification and to employers.

Given the increasing scope of the international labour markets and growing professional mobility, collaboration and coordination with professional associations are recommended for this purpose;

- (e) Use codes of practice such as the Council of Europe/ UNESCO ‘Recommendation on Criteria and Procedures for the Assessment of Foreign Qualifications’¹³ and other relevant codes of practice to increase the public’s confidence in their recognition procedures, and to reassure stakeholders that the processing of requests is conducted in a fair and consistent manner;
- (f) Provide clear, accurate and accessible information on the criteria for the assessment of qualifications, including qualifications resulting from cross-border provision.

Guidelines for professional bodies¹⁴

Systems of professional recognition differ from country to country and from profession to profession. For example, in some cases, a recognized academic qualification could be sufficient for entry into professional practice, whereas in other cases, additional requirements are imposed on holders of academic qualifications in order to enter the profession. Given the increasing scope of international labour markets and growing professional mobility, the holders of academic qualifications, as well as employers and professional associations are facing many challenges. Increasing transparency – i.e., improving the availability and the quality of the information – is critical for fair recognition processes.

In this context, it is recommended that professional bodies responsible for professional recognition:

13 Available at: http://www.coe.int/T/DG4/HigherEducation/Recognition/Criteria%20and%20procedures_EN.asp#TopOfPage.

14 This section refers to institutions with legal competence in the field of regulated professions and professional recognition. In some countries, these institutions are professional bodies; in other countries, this role is being performed by other competent authorities, such as governmental ministries.

- (a) Develop information channels that are accessible both to national and foreign holders of qualifications to assist them in gaining professional recognition of their qualifications, and to employers who need advice on the professional recognition of foreign qualifications. Information should also be easily accessible to current and potential students;
- (b) Establish and maintain contacts between the professional bodies of both sending and receiving countries, higher education institutions/providers, quality assurance and accreditation bodies, as well as academic recognition bodies to improve qualification assessment methodologies;
- (c) Establish, develop and implement assessment criteria and procedures for comparing programmes and qualifications to facilitate the recognition of qualifications and to accommodate learning outcomes and competencies that are culturally appropriate in addition to input and process requirements;
- (d) Improve the accessibility at the international level of up-to-date, accurate and comprehensive information on mutual recognition agreements for the professions and encourage the development of new agreements.

